1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	CONTINU	ATION OF THE EXAMINATION UNDER OATH OF CONFIDENTIAL WITNESS
8		
9		
10	DATE:	September 18, 2025
11	TIME:	Commenced at 2:24 p.m.
12		Concluded at 4:55 p.m.
13		
14		
15		
16		Pages 1 through 61
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		Stangarahi gallu Danastad Du
22		Stenographically Reported By:
23		Registered Professional Reporter
24		Florida Professional Reporter Notary Public, State of Florida
25		

The examination under oath of CONFIDENTIAL WITNESS 1 2 was taken on Thursday, September 18, 2025, 3 commencing at 2:24 p.m. Said examination under oath was reported by 4 Teresa R. Cruise, CRR, RPR, FPR-C, FPR 5 6 Notary Public, State of Florida at Large. 7 (The witness, having already presented 8 9 their government-issued identification and 10 their identity verified, was sworn in as 11 follows.) 12 THE STENOGRAPHER: Mr. Vega, could you 13 please raise your right hand? 14 Do you solemnly swear to truly and 15 accurately interpret from English to 16 Spanish and Spanish to English the 17 questions propounded and the answers given, 18 and that you have such the ability to do 19 so? 20 THE INTERPRETER: I swear. 21 THEREUPON, 22 JOSE VEGA, 23 the interpreter, was sworn to truly and correctly 24 translate English into Spanish and Spanish into 25 English.

1 2 THE STENOGRAPHER: Mr. Vega, would you 3 please ask our deponent to raise his right hand? 4 5 Do you swear or affirm the testimony 6 you're about to give will be the truth, the 7 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 10 THE STENOGRAPHER: You can put your hand down. 11 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 2:24 p.m. 14 We're back on the video record. 15 THEREUPON: 16 CONFIDENTIAL WITNESS, 17 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. CASE: 2.1 Sir, I am here because my client, Tina Q. 22 Peters, was prosecuted by the government in 23 Colorado for criticizing the voting system in 24 Colorado. 25 In your experience -- let me start the

pg

question over.

I'm here because my client, Tina

Peters, was prosecuted by the state of Colorado for criticizing the voting system. There is evidence that Dominion Voting Systems demanded that Tina

Peters be prosecuted because she criticized the voting system and made a forensic image of the election management server.

In your experience, as part of the Venezuelan government, were you aware of the Venezuelan government prosecuting people who criticized the Smartmatic voting system?

- A. Yes, they have been prosecuted.

 People have been prosecuted for criticizing the voting system.
- Q. Did Smartmatic participate in helping the government prosecute those people who criticized the Smartmatic voting system?
- A. Yes. The electoral technology companies have to turn over evidence as requested by the government.
- Q. And, in your experience, were the judges who oversaw those prosecutions able to know if the evidence provided by Smartmatic was true or false?

No, they do not have that capacity. 1 2 They would have to be specialists in technology to 3 know, sir. 4 So, the judges in Venezuelan had to Q. 5 rely on the witnesses provided by Smartmatic in the 6 prosecution of people who criticized the voting 7 system? Correct. Provide by them for the 8 Α. 9 government, correct. 10 Q. Now, when you worked for the 11 Venezuelan government between 2003 and 2016, did you meet with any officials who were from Cuba? 12 13 Α. Yes, on many occasions. And how is it that you met with Cuban 14 Ο. 15 officials on many occasions in your role in 16 managing the Smartmatic voting system in Venezuela? 17 Where did you meet with Cubans? 18 Α. Well, this is because the Cuban 19 officials or Cuban authorities are part of the 20 Venezuelan government. They have full oversight of 21 the activities carried out by the Venezuelan 22 government and provide advice or consulting to 23 them. 24 Do you know if Cuba has a communist Q. 25 government?

A. Yes, yes, it does.

- Q. So are you saying that the Venezuelan government is managed by representatives of the Cuban communist government?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And do you know if the engineers for Smartmatic, who later became employees of Dominion, were trained in Cuba to write election software for Smartmatic?
 - A. No, I'm not aware of that.

What I am aware of is that Cuban engineers traveled over to Venezuela to obtain training as far as technology was concerned. Not only electoral technology, but also for public records, such as birth certificates.

They received training in biometric technology, passports and to implement Cuban systems within the government. When I refer to Cuban systems, I'm referring to telecommunications and intelligence systems.

And what they would explain to us was that given the -- the blockade or embargo that they are going through, they don't have access to those tools that Venezuelans ended up giving them.

But as far as election are concerned,

pg

or electoral speaking, all the technicians or engineers that created the elections system have all graduated from a Venezuelan university called Simón Bolívar University.

- Q. Now, when you were working for the Venezuelan government between 2003 and 2016, was part of your responsibility to manage the manufacturing of the voting equipment?
- A. Well, the manufacturing of the voting equipment, these were manufactured in Taiwan and China.

My responsibilities was to receive those components, or those pieces of equipment, configure them and send them out to production to be used through the elections, meaning that any part or piece that was given to me was exported from either China or Taiwan.

- Q. Did that include firmware in the computers?
- A. They would already come pre-configured from Taiwan or China. It's already been established, based on the part that you're acquiring.

And if there's any modification made to them, then the engineers of Smartmatic would be

the ones to do so; or, if it would be the case, 1 2 another company, like in the case of Dominion. 3 But based on my experience, the components are first tested in the warehouse where 4 they have been manufactured, which means either in 5 China or Taiwan. 6 7 What is firmware? 0. The firmware is a program that is 8 9 included inside a chip or a particular device for 10 the correct functioning of an entire device. 11 It's the first electrical impulse that 12 the computer receives so that then, after that, it 13 can only -- after that, it can start receiving the 14 instructions from the operating system. So firmware is a set of commands 15 Ο. 16 embedded in the hardware? 17 That's correct. Α. 18 Q. And those commands that were used in 19 Smartmatic computers were installed in either China 20 or Taiwan? 21 Α. That is correct. 22 But, you know, you don't want to be 23 mixed up, though, and mix this up with the

electoral system. The electoral system later on

needs to be set up on this equipment.

24

So the electronic devices have some certain command sequences, and that is why we're calling firmware. Part of that are memory sequences that allow you to receive video or print that, later on, allows you to receive other information.

It is later, after this, that you signal the electoral management system, the electoral system, and that has a command sequence where you can utilize a program or a piece of software.

- Q. Do the computers made in China and Taiwan include circuits that can communicate with the internet?
- A. Correct. They have internal modems that allow for external communications, and it is the responsibility of each one of the different corporations to disable those components or to leave them enabled for the elections.
- Q. Now, can the firmware keep those modems enabled even if the software commands the system to disable the modem?

In other words, can you have firmware that allows the modem to remain on, even when the software thinks that it's off?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, for that, you would need Yes. an engineer that understands the Windows pipeline system, which will allow you to block certain parameters within the system. But through the operating system, then I can enable that component. In other words, yes, the answer to your question is yes. When did Smartmatic acquire Sequoia? Q. What year? Α. 2005, its first year of existence. Smartmatic did so during its first year of corporate existence. And were you involved -- as an 0. official of the Venezuelan government, were you involved in Smartmatic's acquisition of Sequoia? Α. No. What year did Dominion Voting Systems Q. acquire Sequoia from Smartmatic? 2010. Α. Are you aware of a Smartmatic official Q. bribing a government representative in the Philippines during an election? Well, there is an open case, it's pending against Roger Pinate, for bribery during the 2016 elections in the Philippines.

I mean, I'm just talking about 1 2 publically known cases of bribery. Because, in Venezuela, Smartmatic worked hand-in-hand with the 3 Venezuelan government. 4 And Jorge Rodriguez would collect 5 bribes from Smartmatic. 6 7 And Jorge Rodriguez was part of the 0. Venezuelan government? 8 9 Α. He's the current President of Congress 10 in Venezuela. We call it the National Assembly. And his sister is the Vice President for the 11 12 country. 13 And it is Jorge Rodriguez who hired 14 Smartmatic, or awarded the Smartmatic in 2003 in order for them to develop the electoral system for 15 16 Venezuela. 17 And how much money did Smartmatic Q. receive from the Venezuelan government in 2003 to 18 19 develop the software to rig elections in Venezuela? 20 \$250,000 American dollars. And they Α. 21 held 28 percent interest in the company. 22 So the Venezuelan government owns Ο. 23 28 percent of Smartmatic? 24 As far as publically available Α. 25 information, yes.

And during 2003 and 2004, Smartmatic 1 2 had a sister company, it was called Bizta. 3 Bizta created the system and Smartmatic was running the elections, and the business partners for each 4 5 one of those companies are correspondingly the same 6 person. 7 And both of those companies were recorded or incorporated here in the state of 8 9 Delaware. 10 Q. Who owned the source code used in Smartmatic software? 11 12 Α. The Venezuelan government. 13 0. And where is the source code kept? 14 In Venezuelan. Α. 15 Q. What city? 16 In the central bank, that's where they Α. 17 would keep the backups for the source codes, in 18 Caracas. 19 How much money per year did the Q. 20 Venezuelan government pay to Smartmatic after 21 Smartmatic developed the software to rig Venezuelan 22 elections? 23 Well, is it varied by year. During 24 the first year, Smartmatic received \$140 million. 25 That was in 2004.

Q. In U.S. dollars?

2 A. Everything's dollars, I'm only talking dollars.

In 2005, Smartmatic purchased the Sequoia for \$17 million, so it was basically a business.

And it was very strange because
Sequoia Voting Systems was a company that had
20 percent of the market share of the American
market, United States market.

- Q. And so from the \$140 million that

 Smartmatic received from the Venezuelan government,

 then did Smartmatic pay bribes out of that money to

 officials in the Venezuelan government?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And how do you know this?
- A. So in 2004 -- in 2002 was when the first elections machines were purchased in Venezuelan. The contract for the first batch of machines, contract for \$40 million, authorized by Jorge Rodriguez.

And, then, Olivetti, after a scandal became public, goes ahead and publishes the real cost of those machines in Italy, which was \$21 million. So add another \$19 million on top of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

that, those were to pay bribes to the people within the Venezuelan government.

And this was explained by Jorge Rodriquez himself in a meeting where I was present, because I worked there, we were part of the technical team from the national electoral council for the coordination of all the elections.

I want to ask you a few questions Q. about log files.

Can you tell us what a log file is?

A log, on an IT level, and anywhere, is a record of what each one of the machines is doing. It's the absolute evidence that a machine has performed a certain process, and that record must be maintained in order for you to be able to verify if any sort of equipment is functioning properly.

This not only applies to a piece of equipment but also to a system, a software, because you have operating system logs that tell you about the components within the machines, and they are system logs, which inform me about the behavior of a system.

In my computer, or electoral system, uses systems I will have seven different logs.

Did the Smartmatic computer voting 1 2 system, was the operating system Windows? 3 For the voting machines, yes, it was Α. Windows. 4 5 The tally system or vote counting 6 system had been built on Linux. But it doesn't 7 mean that because you have a change in the operating system, you can't maintain the logic 8 9 within the systems. 10 Q. So in -- did you -- you examined the 11 forensic image of the election management server 12 that was used in the Mesa County 2020 election, 13 correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Did you notice the settings on the 16 size of the Windows log files in the Windows 17 operating system? 18 I don't recall, but it is part of the 19 report that we created, and I can get that to you. 20 But I seem to remember that it was too 21 small, the size was too small for what it needed to 22 do. When I talk about small in size or very little 23 space, I'm talking -- I mean, that on a programming 24 level.

You assign a certain disc space or

memory space for the log to be stored in, and that's going to give you an X number of lines so that a log or a record can be kept. And depending on what the setting is, once the log gets full, it starts rewriting over it and deleting evidence.

That's why I believe their settings were too small. And we talked about this with our team and we thought that it wasn't going to have enough space to be able to keep all the logs throughout the election.

In the case of Venezuela, elections are just one day but, in the United States, elections last over 20 days. Therefore, the space allocation for the logs have to be large enough to be able to allow for the storage of all the different days or activities during the days of the election.

And that evidence, or logs, should be kept as a backup in a database. Those are the standard procedures that should be followed.

- Q. Now, are you familiar with the manual for the Dominion Voting System?
- A. Yes. We read the voting system manual and it has many chapters because there's a manual for each module of the system.

Q. Now, I don't want to put words in your mouth, but if I can remind you, does the Dominion

Voting System manual that you read with your own eyes specify to set the log file size at 20 megabytes?

A. I believe so.

Q. And in the event that 20 megabyte file fills up with information, then does the Dominion manual allow you to designate what should happen next when that file gets full?

A. No. It does not say so because the Dominion -- Dominion's manual only tells you how to set that setting. The manual has no instructions on how to solve problems that could occur during a given election.

And the only way for you to verify that a log record has been poorly configured is for someone to point out that the log files have been being rewritten.

But I believe that, in the United

States, and I have read a whole deal regarding

this, that there is no manual for the monitoring

for the electoral systems within the United States.

Nor does the Dominion system issue any sort of

alert or notification if the system is full.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

If there is any error, they would have to create a support ticket and call support, and then these people would come and be in charge of resolving the issue by either send a technician on-site or by remote support. Now, if the voting system isn't 0.

connected to the internet, how could a Dominion technician possibly communicate and get into the system, other than through the internet?

> THE INTERPRETER: Other than through what?

> > MR. CASE: The internet.

THE WITNESS: Well, then the representatives for each county have to create a VPN connection so that the representatives can then be connected to the electoral systems. As I have read in certain reports that the case -- this has happened in Chicago, Chicago, Illinois and Georgia.

In the case of Georgia as well, they have set up VPN connections in order to resolve issues as far as settings were concerned or to resolve system problems. They can use a virtual machine that is put

in the middle.

When I say that it's in the middle, it means that over here on one hand, you have the county's electoral system, then you can configure a virtual machine in the county that would allow for the elections to be made. And you provide it with the necessary credentials or authorization so that the electoral system can then communicate with this machine. And then the company, in turn, connects to the virtual machine.

So, legally speaking, they're not connected directly to the electoral system, but nevertheless, from this other system, I can effect changes in the system to update it. Either that or I can just do a direct connection through a VPN and do all the changes that I need.

You need to have one person in that county to have the authorization of the secretary of state. I mean, that's what I am -- think is the case, based on what I have read about this. But I don't really know whether a secretary of state is the

1 maximum authority or not during an ongoing 2 election. 3 BY MR. CASE: Now, is what you just described called 4 Q. 5 the man-in-the-middle program? 6 Α. That is correct. 7 And is the man-in-the-middle system 0. used in Venezuela? 8 9 It was not used in Venezuela because Α. 10 Smartmatic had full control of the election system. 11 It was not necessary. Nor was the government going 12 to sue Smartmatic. From the government, the 13 government was not going to sue Smartmatic since 14 they were working for them. 15 And just on a side note, like an 16 anecdote, the elections, the 2006 elections in the 17 United States, in Chicago, Illinois, the proof of concept for that election was created in Venezuela 18 19 in 2005. 20 That is why a very similar piece of 21 equipment was used, it was the SAES 3300. It's an 22 identical machine, identical machine to the SAES 23 3377 that were used for the elections here in the 24 United States.

So at all times was what Venezuela

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used as a proof of concept for the different elections that Smartmatic carried out throughout the entire world. So, are you saying that the man-in-the-middle system was used in Chicago in the 2006 election? No, it is used currently in these last elections that you held here. Dominion has an engineer, whose name is Ronald Morales, and Ronald Morales is the person that does maintenance on the electoral systems. Ronald Morales is the person that puts that man-in-the-middle in place. Sorry, that's not the word. To be able to set up the system, to be able to set up the communication from the Dominion system, between the new Dominion system and the old Smartmatic system. But that same behavior --THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, you cut out, Mr. Vega. THE WITNESS: They have used it elsewhere as well. BY MR. CASE: So was there a problem when the Q. computer equipment changed and became more modern

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Dominion was still running old Smartmatic, based software on the new computer equipment coming out the China and Taiwan? That is correct. They use that because they need the county to authorize certain updates on the systems. So, for example, in the case of Mesa, let's see, I was five -- 11 -- okay. So the 2020 election was done with version 5.5. And then they updated the system to version 5.11. When they did that update, all the old data was deleted because they are overridden. It is written on top of that. So, at that point in time, you can no longer carry out a formal audit because you no longer have the original machine. All evidence, all log, all of that was deleted. And what you've just referred to is Q. when the Colorado Secretary of State performed what's called a trusted bill in Mesa County on May 25th and 26th of 2021, the Secretary of State erased all of the records of the 2020 election that was preserved on that Mesa County election management server; is that correct? THE INTERPRETER: A trusted build, is

that right, Counsel?

MR. CASE: 1 Yes. 2 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 3 I didn't know the dates that you were mentioning but, yes, that's -- that is 4 5 correct. BY MR. CASE: 6 7 So, you examined the image of the Mesa Ο. County election management server that was taken 8 9 after the trusted build; is that correct? 10 No, we saw both images, the old one Α. 11 and the new one. 12 Q. Correct. In the new image, all of the data was 13 14 gone except new software. True? And the structure changed, the 15 16 structure of the program changed as compared to the 17 version 5.5. 18 Q. Now, so far as you are aware, is it a 19 law that the system must preserve the records from 20 the previous election for a certain period of time? 21 Α. I believe it's 18 months. 22 Just so the record's clear, are you Ο. 23 aware of 52 U.S. Code Section 20701, which requires 24 every officer of election to preserve all election 25 records, including electronic records, for

22 months after each election?

- A. That is correct. Correct, yes, we knew that.
 - Q. And based on your examination of the forensic images from Mesa County, it's your testimony that the Colorado Secretary of State erased all records of the 2020 election when they installed new software in May of 2021; is that true?
 - A. Well, whoever gave the authorization, like whoever gave the authorization for the system to be updated, would be the person responsible for all the files being deleted.

If the person giving the green light was the secretary of state, then it would be the secretary of state. If it was the person in charge of the elections, then it would be the person in charge of the elections.

- Q. Now, going back to the log file size.
- A. Okay.
- Q. What you've told us is that the

 Dominion system was configured so that when the log

 files got full, they just overwrote the information

 that was already in the log file. True?
 - A. Of course. These are standard

settings, standard configurations.

- Q. So, while the system was operating during the 2020 election, it was actually writing over the data from the election?
- A. It rewrites the day-to-day of an election. So let's say it gets -- it becomes full, then the next day gets overridden on top of that.
- Q. And so when you have an election that lasts for several days, it becomes impossible to audit the full election because the records are being erased after they are written. True?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And so that is a capability that is built into the Dominion software -- correct? -- that is overwrites election records while the election is going on?
- A. That is correct. If the machine is operational and it is operating, it will record on top of a log that is already there.
- Q. And that is part of the Dominion software that allows it to erase records while the election is going on. True?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And is that same capability in the Smartmatic software that was created in Venezuela

to rig elections?

A. That's not how it was configured over in Venezuela. You had a dedicated server just for the logs, meaning that all the different machines or servers would send the information to one location, send the logs to one location.

And there, you can do a review of all the elections through all the logs stored there.

It's just that in Venezuela, no one would go and want to check those logs.

THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter would like to make a correction.

"In Venezuela, no one would check those logs."

BY MR. CASE:

- Q. Can you tell us about the multiple transmission capabilities and methods in the Venezuelan elections telecommunication system?
 - A. Can you repeat the question, please?
 - Q. Yes.

Can you tell us about the transmission capabilities and methods of the telecommunication system that was used in Venezuela during elections when you worked for the Venezuelan government?

A. So Venezuela would transmit in three

different ways. It could be by home telephone 1 2 line, active telephone lines, by cellular 3 communication and by satellite communication. All these divisions, depending on what 4 5 part of the country you were in, were then 6 connected to the voting machines through network 7 port, an RJ-45 jack, or an RJ-11 telephone jack. And through these lines, which are secured lines, 8 9 all the electoral data was transferred. 10 And this data is transmitted through 11 the telephone lines of the carriers in that -- in 12 the country. So in this country, it would be the 13 equivalent of AT&A or Verizon, or over in 14 Venezuela, we call it can TV. And these networks are then connected to the national center for 15 16 tallying, or totalling. 17 And all the electoral data is transmitted using that method of communication. 18 19 Now, you mentioned an election that Q. 20 was rigged in a state, I believe you said Merida; is that correct? 21 22 In Merida, M-E-R-I-D-A. 23 So it turns out the results of the 24 entire state of Merida were not transmitted 25 throughout the country. They used the tool, SAES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30

data utility tool, to transmit all the data from all -- from the electoral machines, and transmit them to the national center for tallying.

And this became public knowledge because when the logs for those different communication companies were checked, there wasn't any sort of trace or data packets that were being transmitted. So this triggered a red flag, and the national tallying center was contacted, where they said that the state of Merida had already sent all the entire transmission of one hundred percent of issues, which is impossible, because they didn't use any of the regular channels of transmission that are used during the elections, or that are authorized to be used during elections.

It's as if you ordered a package, and instead of it being delivered through your front door, it comes in through the window. It's the same thing, same exact thing, and this is how it happened in Venezuela. And the person responsible for doing that was Carlos Quintero. He was the technology director for the National Electoral Council.

During that specific election, his sister ended up being elected as a mayor. So this

whole operation was done in order for his sister to 1 2 get a position within the government. 3 I want to ask you about some names of Q. persons who were involved with Smartmatic and 4 5 Sequoia and Dominion, and then if you can tell us 6 what you know about each of those persons. 7 But please keep it to a brief summary 8 unless I ask a follow up. 9 Α. Okay. 10 Q. Do you know Ronald Morales? He's a Smartmatic tech who was in 11 Α. 12 Venezuela, Sequoia, and in Dominion as well. 13 0. Does he currently work for Dominion? 14 He currently works for Dominion. Α. 15 Was Mr. Morales one of the developers Q. of the original Smartmatic election software? 16 17 That is correct. Α. And was that the same Smartmatic 18 Q. 19 software that was used to rig elections in 20 Venezuela? 21 Α. That is correct. 22 Do you know David Moreno? Q. 23 Oh, David Moreno. The same thing goes Α. 24 for David Moreno. He was a specialist technician

who used to be in Venezuela, then later on went to

```
Mexico, then in 2004 ended up being transferred
 1
 2
       over to Sequoia.
 3
                    In 2005 when it -- and then when it
       took over, he became part of Dominion.
 4
 5
                    Is Mr. Moreno currently employed by
 6
       Dominion?
 7
                    He's in charge -- he's in charge of
       Dominion's warehouse in Texas, if I'm not mistaken.
 8
 9
                    In other words, he's the person
10
       responsible for configuring the Dominion equipment
       for their -- for the elections.
11
                    And this is the same David Moreno that
12
13
       was involved in creating the Smartmatic software
14
       that was used to rig elections in Venezuela?
15
                    That is correct.
               Α.
16
                    Do you know Ron Rosania?
               Q.
17
                    No.
               Α.
                    Do you know Heider Garcia?
18
               Q.
                    THE INTERPRETER: Sorry, Counsel, how
19
20
               do you spell that?
21
                    MR. CASE: H-E-I-D-E-R, Garcia.
22
                    Yes. Heider Garcia is the person
23
       responsible for the deployment of the electoral
24
       system in Venezuela, one of the engineers that
25
       created it. One of the leaders and person
```

responsible for the implementation of the SAES 1 2 system, and its implementation of Dominion in the 3 Philippines. 4 He was the person in charge of 5 reconfiguring the 78,000 machines that failed in 6 the Philippines out of the error of Dominion Voting 7 Systems. 8 And I think that he's currently the 9 person assigned to be the elections manager in 10 Texas, the county of Dallas, Texas, he's in charge of the elections. 11 You mentioned 78,000 machines that 12 Q. were used in the Philippines. 13 14 Were those scanners? 15 Yes. It's equipment -- this is 16 equipment similar to the equipment that you use for 17 your elections here in the United States. They're either similar or identical. 18 19 And those scanners were configured and Q. 20 programmed by Dominion Voting Systems? Dominion Voting Systems and 21 Α. 22 Smartmatic. 23 And what was the error in those 78,000 Q. 24 scanners that were used in the Philippine election?

Oh, I did not mention what that error

25

Α.

pg

was, it is worth noting.

Those 78,000 had a failure five days prior to the elections. They had fully configured from the very beginning, before they had even been sent out and distributed throughout the different islands in the Philippines.

Dominion never handed over the source code which, by law, they should have delivered to the electoral authorities in the Philippines. So then, Smartmatic had to come and reconfigure a piece of software that didn't belong to them.

I think we need to understand one thing here. The electoral system used by Smartmatic in the Philippines is the same one as in Venezuela. So what Smartmatic did was that it integrated the equipment provided by Dominion into its system. And on stop of that, Smartmatic was the manufacturer for the 78,000 scanners that Dominion ended up selling to the Philippines.

And so Heider Garcia went ahead and reconfigured the equipment because the know how about that was what was inherited from Sequoia, knowing that Sequoia has SAES built into its system, in its core.

That's how Smartmatic was able to come

and resolve the problem that had been caused by 1 2 Dominion without any issues whatsoever, because 3 they were configuring their own system, basically. And when you say that Sequoia had SAES 4 5 built into its system, did you mean that it had the 6 S-A-E-S data utility tool built into its system? 7 Α. In the case of the Philippines, yes. 8 But you have to remember that SAES 9 data utility tool is a tool that is outside, 10 external to the electoral system. And the SAES 11 system, when we say SAES system, we're talking 12 about the entire electoral system. Okay? 13 Now, turning back to Mr. Heider Ο. 14 Garcia, you mentioned that he was the head of 15 elections in Tarrant County, Texas? 16 Is that what you said? 17 In the -- in Dallas county, in Dallas, Α. 18 in Texas. 19 Thank you. Q. 20 I think he's the current head of Α. 21 elections, the current head of the county in 22 Dallas. 23 Q. Do you know a woman named Illiana De 24 Los Angeles? 25 Α. No.

Are you aware that Heider Garcia has 1 2 been named the new president of Hart Voting 3 Systems? 4 No, I did not know. Α. 5 Q. Do you know a person named Alex Soto 6 Vasquez? 7 Α. No. 8 Q. Do you know a person named Victor 9 Vasquez? 10 Α. Victor was a director or one of the directors of Smartmatic. 11 12 No, sorry, I apologize, he was a 13 manager of a warehouse in the Philippines -- I 14 mean, Taiwan, sorry. Wait, wait, wait, sorry. 15 Victor Vasquez is a manager, a manager 16 for Smartmatic, and he's currently been named as 17 part of the bribery case in the Philippines, or 2016 -- 2016 bribery case in the Philippines. 18 19 I just got mixed up with another guy 20 called Victor Lopez, because Victor Lopez was a 21 manager of a warehouse in Taiwan, and he was the 22 person in charge of the manufacturing of the 23 equipment, one of the people in charge of the 24 manufacturing of the 78,000 scanners built for 25 development, that's why I got mixed up, I

1 apologize. 2 And who was Victor Lopez employed by Q. in Taiwan? 3 4 Employed by Smartmatic. Α. 5 Q. Do you know a person named Ed Ryan 6 Macias? 7 Α. No. Do you know a person named Eric 8 Q. 9 Coomer, C-O-O-M-E-R? 10 THE INTERPRETER: C-O? 11 MR. CASE: C-O-O-M-E-R, Eric Coomer. 12 THE WITNESS: All I know is that 13 Mr. Coomer used to be the president of Sequoia and then was the president of 14 Smartmatic United States around 2005/2006. 15 BY MR. CASE: 16 17 Do you know a person named Anselmo Q. Valdez? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Q. Do you know a person named Hugo 21 Carvajal? 22 Hugo Carvajal is -- or was what you 23 call here the National Security Advisor, the 24 National Security Advisor for President Hugo 25 Chávez.

pg

Q. Do you know if Hugo Carvajal was an officer with Cuban intelligence?

A. I do not. He was the highest-ranking intelligence officer in Venezuela.

Now, did he have contact with Cubans? Of course. Because Hugo Chávez had a relationship with Cuba, and he was involved in all the investigations against the people, or about -- investigating the people that surrounded the president.

And Carlos Quintero, the one that committed the fraud in 2008, I mentioned this to you just a few questions back, was the second in command right under Hugo Carvajal. Hugo Carvajal placed Carlos Quintero in the National Intelligence Council so he could have control over the elections.

- Q. Can you spell Carlos Quintero? Can you spell his last name, please?
 - A. Q-U-I-N-T-E-R-O.
- Q. And your testimony is that Hugo

 Carvajal placed Carlos Quintero in the National

 Intelligence Council so Quintero would have control over Venezuelan elections?
 - A. He placed him as the technology

director so that he could have control over the 1 2 elections. 3 Did you have personal contact with Q. Carlos Ouintero? 4 5 Α. He was my boss. Are you aware that Hugo Carvajal has 6 0. 7 recently pleaded guilty to a drug charge in the Southern District of New York Federal District 8 9 Court? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And are you aware that Hugo Carvajal, 12 as part of his quilty plea, agreed to cooperate 13 with the U.S. Department of Justice in explaining the connection between the Venezuelan government 14 and the drug cartels? 15 16 No, I did not know that. 17 Are you familiar with the Windows Q. 18 operating system software updates? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. And when you were in Venezuela, did 21 you regularly supervise the regular implementation 22 of the monthly -- what's called the monthly 23 Microsoft patch? 24 Α. That's correct. We had a team who was 25 in charge of maintaining the operating systems

pg

update.

- Q. What is the monthly Microsoft patch?
- A. Well, these are different updates that company considers are vulnerability and they are forced to issue this patch to avoid from -- avoid their system from being penetrated maliciously.

We need to know, we need to understand that whenever Microsoft issues a patch or an update is because, at that point in time, other people have already been affected and their systems have been breached. These updates don't occur just because the company is preemptively issuing them as part of their normal processes.

These updates happen because somebody attacked the system and somebody went ahead and reported the issue. That's when they create a patch or an update and people do that.

- Q. Now, as the person responsible for the voting system machines in Venezuela, what was your procedure for handling the Microsoft patch?
- A. For the elections, all the machines needed to go out having the latest updates. And then, on top of that, the operating systems for the Window-based machines would be blocked. You use an image that does not allow anybody from modifying

it.

The difference with American software is that your machines are not blocked, rather that machines are left open, and each one of its element or components can be accessed or used.

In Venezuela, we would disable and turn off all the different processes or components that are not going to be used that are not needed for the elections.

In the United States, however, I can go ahead and install a new component right on the very day of the elections. That's why, in Venezuela, if you want to commit a fraud, you had to use the tool SAES data utility tool.

In the United States, all I need is to have access to the machine and know the vulnerabilities, either of Windows or the electoral system. And from there, you can exert an attack that is undetectable.

Q. In general, would you say that Smartmatic software was designed with two principals in mind:

Number one, it's easy to rig elections; and number two, it's hard to audit?

A. That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And is the same true for Dominion software, it's easy to rig an election with it and it's hard to audit? That is correct. Α. Do you know about GEMS Software? Q. That's G-E-M-S. Α. A little. What do you know about GEMS Software? Q. The little that I seem to remember is Α. that they were integrated with Diebold, the company, and their suite of products. Later on, that suite of programs were acquired by Dominion Voting Systems in 2009 or 2010. That's the only thing that I can remember right now about this GEMS Company. Now, you mentioned that elections in Q. Venezuela were rigged using Smartmatic software. Do you know of other countries where elections were rigged using Smartmatic software? Α. No, I am not aware. You mentioned somewhere in your Ο. testimony about IP addresses. Can you tell us a typical IP address for Taiwan? Well, IPs go by ranges. Each country Α. has a range. If I'm not mistaken, as part of our

investigations, we would find some records of communications using IP addresses, some IP addresses from Taiwan.

And I think this was part of -- they showed in the settings or configurations of the firmware, and it was either the firmware or the EPROM memory, that's E-P-R-O-M memory, which is a temporary memory that is stored in each one of the pieces of equipment.

That's what I recall right now, but I can go over the details of the investigation and maybe it will help me remember more clearly.

- Q. Can you tell us what a ballot image is, please?
- A. The ballot image is the scanning of a voting ballot through which you-all issue your vote.

THE INTERPRETER: I would like to correct a prior translation of a temporary memory and would like to correct that to a volatile memory.

THE WITNESS: This image is to be a true and correct image of the paper ballot that then later needs to be sent over to the databases for it to be stored or backed

1	up there, and that transmission, the
2	transmission of that image or that backup,
3	may vary by county.
4	There are some counties that may
5	decide to only transmit the results and
6	other counties that may decide to transmit
7	both the results and the images.
8	Nevertheless, all the images are
9	stored in the flash cards that are in each
10	of the election devices.
11	MR. CASE: Thank you.
12	We need to take a 15-minute break and
13	then we'll finish up by 5 o'clock.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
16	4:08 p.m.
17	We're going off the video record.
18	(A recess was held.)
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
20	4:21 p.m.
21	We're back on the video record.
22	BY MR. CASE:
23	Q. Sir, do you understand that you're
24	still under oath?
25	A. That is correct.

You talked about ballot images. 1 Q. 2 Can ballot images be changed inside 3 the computer? 4 That is correct, yes, they can. Α. And is that true with Dominion 5 Q. 6 software? 7 You would have to use Dominion's software to be able to do a change or modification 8 9 of the ballot images in order for it to be 10 undetectable. We're talking about the digital ballots. 11 12 We can also talk about more 13 sophisticated methods of how that can be done, but 14 yes, it's possible. 15 And is it possible to change ballot 16 images inside the computer using Smartmatic 17 software? No. And you have to remember that 18 19 each system has its own structure. The structures 20 are all similar when we're talking about different 21 types of electoral software. 22 What you can do is that you use the 23 original software from the provider, you search for 24 it's vulnerabilities, and then you use it to be 25 able to change either images or data. And when I'm

talking -- when I say data, we're talking about
vote tallies.

What the use of the system will allow me to do is to do all of that in a way that cannot be detected because you're using the same software to sign for content that is not the original content. So then when you go ahead and you audit that, you have no way of showing that those pieces of data are incorrect.

There's no other way unless you go and you file a case before the law, then you appear before a judge and you get a judge to allow me to actually go and inspect the system itself.

And this causes a big delay timewise.

And then, this can cause the time period where I am mandated to keep information, data stored, this time period can expire, and then you can delete this data because the period machine dated by law has expired.

And so then these companies are, of course, going to claim intellectual property rights in order to disallow any detailed inspection of their source code because the only entity in charge of the elections is either the county or the secretary of state.

So this becomes a bureaucratic loop that you cannot exit from.

- Q. So I want to wrap this deposition -I'm sorry -- I'd like to wrap up this examination
 under oath by summarizing your testimony. And you
 would just answer briefly to tell me that I'm
 correct or incorrect. So I'd like a yes or no.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. So summarize, is it true that the Venezuelan government paid Smartmatic to create software that could be used by the Venezuelan government to rig elections?
 - A. That is true.
- Q. Is it true that Smartmatic sold its software to the Venezuelan government to use in elections?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Is it true that you personally set up the war room with technology that was used to rig Venezuelan elections using Smartmatic software?
 - A. I would like to make a correction.

The answer to the question as asked would be no. The reason we set up the war rooms was in order to monitor the election. The process of manipulating the elections is as a result of the

responses that the war room receives.

And then they notify the technology director of the National Electoral Council, which, according to the stories that go around, that was Leonardo Hernandez, Louis Campos, the cousin of Delci, or Carlos Quintero, the second in command under Carlos Carvajal. So that would be the correct way, as explained.

So, from the situation room, we, or I did not have the authority to give an order to rob an election or manipulate an election. It was the ministers or the presidents that would make the decisions in those rooms and then notify of what that decision had been.

And I would like to correct something regarding the prior question, because I think I misunderstood it.

The Venezuelan government gives the contract to Smartmatic for it -- for to create the Venezuelan electoral system, called SAES, and financed it \$250,000 U.S. dollars. Then the Venezuelan government paid it \$140 million for them to carry out the elections. And, in return of that \$250,000 loan, the Venezuelan stays or keeps the software for the elections itself, keeps it.

As well as the 28 percent shareholder 1 2 interest of the company called Bizta, and both Visa 3 and Smartmatic have the same owners. These are sister companies set up to be able to carry out an 4 5 election. 6 I just wanted to make that 7 clarification. Thank you. 8 Q. 9 Is it true that Smartmatic then 10 purchased Sequoia, an American company? 11 Α. Yes. And is it true that Dominion then 12 13 purchased Sequoia from Smartmatic in 2010? 14 Α. That's correct. And Eric Coomer was president of 15 Ο. 16 Sequoia when it was purchased by Dominion. True? 17 THE INTERPRETER: Sorry, Counsel, can I get that question again? 18 19 MR. CASE: Yes. 20 BY MR. CASE: 21 Was Eric Coomer president of Sequoia Q. 22 when it was purchased by Smartmatic? 23 That is correct. Α. 24 And was Eric Coomer subsequently Q. 25 employed by Dominion after Dominion purchased

Sequoia?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And is it true that Dominion acquired from Sequoia the same Smartmatic software that was used to rig elections in Venezuela?
- A. That is correct. It acquired the Venezuelan systems integration into the Sequoia systems.

You cannot say that its exactly the same because, semantically speaking, the company is going to say that that is incorrect. These are parts of a system that are included in the new systems. This is what we call the central core, the main functionality of the system.

- Q. Is it true that when you examined the forensic images of the Mesa County election management server, you saw the same security bugs you were familiar with in the Smartmatic software that was used to rig elections in Venezuela?
- A. The same system bugs which would be used to manipulate or change an election.

And I can name what those bugs were.

These were errors in the encryption systems, they
had the source code in legible text for any program
to be able to read it -- to be able to read the

passwords written in plain text, which allowed you 1 2 to breach the system easier. 3 Is it true that Smartmatic created a Q. tool called S-A-E-S data utility, data UTIL? 4 That is correct. And it's recorded 5 6 that tool is named in the studies and configuration 7 manuals. And that tool was created to test the 8 Q. 9 element of the system within an election. Is that 10 true? That is correct. That is -- that is 11 Α. its main function. 12 13 0. But that tool can be misused to 14 emulate a voting machine? 15 That is correct. To emulate voting 16 machines. 17 And that tool, when it's misused, can Q. 18 be used to rig elections that are run on Smartmatic 19 software? 20 That is correct. 21 And is it true that S-A-E-S is the Ο. 22 brand name for Smartmatic software? 23 That is correct. Smartmatic software 24 products that belong to the Venezuelan government, 25 that are property of the Venezuelan government.

Q. Is it true that the S-A-E-S data utility is like a key to a house: If one has the key, one can enter the house undetected, and when they leave, no one will know they were there?

A. That's correct.

- Q. And is it true that the S-A-E-S data utility was used to rig election in Venezuela by injecting false ballots?
- A. That is correct. False votes, false votes, because Venezuela does not use ballots like the United States does.
- Q. Is it true that the Dominion Voting System software architecture is essentially the same as Smartmatic voting system architecture?
- A. They have enough similarity that they can operate in an integrated manner.

In other words, they can operate gently. But let's remember that they cannot be identical for intellectual property issues. So what we do is that we integrate the functionality of the parts that we need to use.

Q. Since Dominion Voting Systems and Smartmatic software architecture are essentially the same, could a data utility tool similar to S-A-E-S data utility be misused to manipulate

pg

elections in Dominion Voting System voting 1 2 computers? 3 Α. That is correct. That is possible. 4 Is it true that the Venezuelan voting Q. 5 system created by Smartmatic used computer 6 components manufactured in China and assembled in 7 Taiwan? 8 That is correct. 9 Is it true that the Dominion Voting Q. 10 System used in the United States today uses 11 computer components manufactured in China and 12 assembled in Taiwan? 13 Α. That is correct. 14 Under U.S. law, is it legal or illegal Ο. 15 to use computer components made by our enemy, The Peoples' Republic of China, within critical 16 17 infrastructures within the United States? 18 Α. It's illegal. 19 And is it true that Dominion Voting 0. 20 Systems uses computers with components made by our 21 enemy, The Peoples' Republic of China? 22 Α. Yes, it does. 23 And because the Dominion Voting System Q. 24 supply chain has not been secured to ensure that 25 there is no foreign access or compromise of the

voting system, is it true that Dominion voting 1 2 system computers cannot be legally certified for us 3 in U.S. elections? 4 You cannot trust a system that you can 5 not buy. So they shouldn't be trusting company, 6 whatever their name is, unless they go through on 7 an inspection. And even more so, in critical 8 9 infrastructure as in the electoral 10 infrastructure -- elections infrastructure. 11 Q. The information that you've testified 12 to today, have you ever given that information 13 before to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in 14 the United States? 15 Α. No. 16 The information that you've testified Q. 17 to today, have you given it to lawyers for the 18 United States Department of Justice? 19 Α. Lawyers? No. 20 Q. Have you given this --21 Would prosecutors be lawyers? Α. 22 Yes. Q. 23 That's my question? Α. 24 Yes, yes, definitely, we have provided 25 that information.

Have you given this information to 1 2 investigator for the United States government? 3 Α. Yes. Part of this information, part of what I have told you today. 4 5 Q. When? What year was the first time 6 that you gave this information to any 7 representative of the United States government, whether they were an investigator or a -- or a 8 9 lawyer or a prosecutor for the Department of 10 Justice? I would like to not answer that 11 Α. 12 question, because I don't know if I'm breaking any 13 rules, because I may say that I have worked with 14 some prosecutors and I may not be allowed to 15 provide you that information here. 16 Because just as you are in the midst 17 of your investigation, these other people are also 18 doing their investigation, and I don't want, you 19 know, to create a problem with the investigations. 20 And why I'm answering this way is 21 mainly because I do not know what the law says, so 22 I prefer to err on the side of caution and not 23 answer that. I hope you understand. 24 I understand. Q. 25 Can you tell us the year that you

first gave information about the vulnerabilities 1 2 and insecurities in the U.S. voting system to any 3 representative of the United States government? What year did you first give them that 4 information? 5 6 Α. The year would be 2024. 7 What month in 2024, please? Ο. March. It was March or April when 8 9 individuals were notified. 10 Q. And so after you gave this information 11 to the United States government in March of 2024, our government went ahead and used that same voting 12 13 system to run the 2024 elections; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes. I suppose that they have to validate the -- the investigation or the 15 16 information that we're presenting them. 17 And I believe that this delay in them not taking action with the information that we have 18 19 provided them with, is because they have to first 20 corroborate each one -- each and every one of the 21 points that we have exposed or explained to them in 22 our different reports and videos. 23 So I cannot put into question the 24 timing of the actions of the government entities. 25 Sir, have you understood all of my Q.

questions this afternoon? 1 2 A hundred percent. Α. 3 Have you answered all questions Q. 4 truthfully? 5 Truthfully, totally truthfully and Α. 6 only with the truth. 7 And were your answers based on your 0. personal knowledge? 8 9 Very much. Α. 10 MR. CASE: Thank you very much for 11 coming and giving us this information 12 today. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you very 14 much. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the 15 16 under oath examination of the confidential 17 witness. 18 The time is 4:55 p.m. 19 (The following discussion was held off 20 the video record:) 21 MR. CASE: Teresa, I'll need these by 22 tomorrow morning, please. 23 THE STENOGRAPHER: That's no problem, 24 but I can also have them to you by this 25 evening instead, if you'd like.

CERTIFICATE OF OATH THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PASCO I, Teresa R. Cruise, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that JOSE VEGA remotely appeared before me on the 18th of September, 2025, and was duly sworn. Signed this 18th day of September, 2025. TERESA R. CRUISE, CRR, RPR, FPR-C Notary Public - State of Florida Commission Number: HH351124 Expiration Date: 02/25/2027

1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	
3	THE STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF PASCO
5	
6	
7	I, Teresa R. Cruise, Notary Public, State
8	of Florida, certify that CONFIDENTIAL WITNESS
9	personally appeared before me on the 18th of
10	September, 2025, and was duly sworn.
11	
12	Signed this 18th day of September, 2025.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	TERESA R. CRUISE, CRR, RPR, FPR-C Notary Public - State of Florida Commission Number: HH351124
21	Expiration Date: 02/25/2027
22	
23	
24	
25	

pg